Imperfect Forward Secrecy How Diffie-Hellman Fails in Practice

David Adrian, Karthikeyan Bhargavan, Zakir Durumeric, Pierrick Gaudry, Matthew Green, J. Alex Halderman, **Nadia Heninger**, Drew Springall, Emmanuel Thomé, Luke Valenta, Benjamin VanderSloot, Eric Wustrow, Santiago Zanella-Béguelin, Paul Zimmermann weakdh.org

September 28, 2015

Textbook Diffie-Hellman

[Diffie Hellman 1976]

Public Parameters

- *G* a group (here \mathbb{F}_p^*)
- g group generator

Key Exchange



Pollard rho, baby step giant step

• $O(\sqrt{q})$ for (sub)group of order q.

Pollard rho, baby step giant step

• $O(\sqrt{q})$ for (sub)group of order q.

Pollard lambda

• $O(\sqrt{r})$ for exponent in size range r.

Pollard rho, baby step giant step

• $O(\sqrt{q})$ for (sub)group of order q.

Pollard lambda

• $O(\sqrt{r})$ for exponent in size range r.

Pohlig-Hellman

- 1. Factor group order $q = \prod_i q_i^{e_i}$.
- 2. Solve discrete log in each subgroup in time $e_i \sqrt{q_i}$.
- 3. Use Chinese remainder theorem to reconstruct $\log \mod q$.

Pollard rho, baby step giant step

• $O(\sqrt{q})$ for (sub)group of order q.

Pollard lambda

• $O(\sqrt{r})$ for exponent in size range r.

Pohlig-Hellman

- 1. Factor group order $q = \prod_i q_i^{e_i}$.
- 2. Solve discrete log in each subgroup in time $e_i \sqrt{q_i}$.
- 3. Use Chinese remainder theorem to reconstruct log mod q.

Best practice: g should generate large prime-order subgroup mod p. Common choices include "safe" primes p = 2q + 1 or DSA groups.



Do people deploying cryptography follow best practice?

Performed Internet-wide scan of HTTPS

▶ 23.9% of 14.3M HTTPS servers support Diffie-Hellman

- ► 23.9% of 14.3M HTTPS servers support Diffie-Hellman
- Observed 70,000 distinct primes p

- ► 23.9% of 14.3M HTTPS servers support Diffie-Hellman
- Observed 70,000 distinct primes p
- Found 4,800 groups (p, g) where (p 1)/2 was not prime.

- ► 23.9% of 14.3M HTTPS servers support Diffie-Hellman
- Observed 70,000 distinct primes p
- Found 4,800 groups (p,g) where (p-1)/2 was not prime.
- Applied ECM to opportunistically factor (p-1)/2.

- ► 23.9% of 14.3M HTTPS servers support Diffie-Hellman
- Observed 70,000 distinct primes p
- Found 4,800 groups (p,g) where (p-1)/2 was not prime.
- Applied ECM to opportunistically factor (p-1)/2.
- ► Learned prime factors of order of *g* for 750 groups, used in 40,000 connections across our Internet scans.

Performed Internet-wide scan of HTTPS

- ► 23.9% of 14.3M HTTPS servers support Diffie-Hellman
- Observed 70,000 distinct primes p
- Found 4,800 groups (p,g) where (p-1)/2 was not prime.
- Applied ECM to opportunistically factor (p-1)/2.
- ► Learned prime factors of order of *g* for 750 groups, used in 40,000 connections across our Internet scans.

For random p, p-1 likely to have large factors, so might not recover full discrete log.

Warm-up: Short exponents with composite group orders [van Oorschot, Wiener]

Some implementations use short exponents ℓ : 128, 160 bits.

Warm-up: Short exponents with composite group orders [van Oorschot, Wiener]

- Some implementations use short exponents ℓ : 128, 160 bits.
- If ℓ < ∏_i q_i^{e_i} for q_i^{e_i} | ord_p(g), Pohlig-Hellman over only these subgroups reconstructs ℓ by Chinese remainder theorem.

Warm-up: Short exponents with composite group orders [van Oorschot, Wiener]

- ▶ Some implementations use short exponents ℓ : 128, 160 bits.
- If ℓ < ∏_i q_i^{e_i} for q_i^{e_i} | ord_p(g), Pohlig-Hellman over only these subgroups reconstructs ℓ by Chinese remainder theorem.
- Using this attack we computed secret exponent for 159 exchanges and partial information in 460 exchanges.

Subexponential algorithms for prime fields: Index calculus

Goal: Solve $g^{\ell} \equiv t \mod p$.

Definition: An integer is *B*-smooth if all its prime factors are $\leq B$. Fix some a priori bound *B*. Subexponential algorithms for prime fields: Index calculus

Goal: Solve $g^{\ell} \equiv t \mod p$.

Definition: An integer is *B*-smooth if all its prime factors are $\leq B$. Fix some a priori bound *B*.

1. **Relation finding**: Enumerate pairs of *B*-smooth integers equivalent mod *p*.

$$p_1^{a_{11}} \dots B^{a_{1k}} = 1 \equiv p + 1 = p_1^{r_{11}} p_2^{r_{12}} \dots B^{r_{1k}}$$

$$p_1^{a_{21}} \dots B^{a_{2k}} = 2 \equiv p + 2 = p_1^{r_{21}} p_2^{r_{22}} \dots B^{r_{2k}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$p_1^{a_{k1}} \dots B^{a_{kk}} = z \equiv p + z = p_1^{r_{k1}} p_2^{r_{k2}} \dots B^{r_{kk}}$$

Index calculus: Linear algebra

Take log of both sides. Assume subgroup of order q. Then

 $a_{11} \log p_1 + \dots + a_{1k} \log B \equiv r_{11} \log p_1 + \dots + r_{1k} \log B \mod q$ $a_{21} \log p_1 + \dots + a_{2k} \log B \equiv r_{21} \log p_1 + \dots + r_{2k} \log B \mod q$

 $a_{k1} \log p_1 + \cdots + a_{kk} \log B \equiv r_{k1} \log p_1 + \cdots + r_{kk} \log B \mod q$

Also get some relations for free: $\log -1 = (p-1)/2$ etc.

2. Linear Algebra: Solve system of equations for log p_i:

$$\log p_1 \equiv s_1$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\log p_k \equiv s_k$$

Index calculus: Actually computing individual logs

Input target t.

3. Try to find some *B*-smooth value

$$g^R t = p_1^{e_1} \dots B^{e_B}$$

Then using known values of $\log p_i$ write

 $\log t = -R + e_1 \log p_1 + \dots + e_B \log B \mod q$

Index calculus: Running time

- Relation collection Runtime depends on (1) work to test if integer is *B*-smooth, (2) probability integer is *B*-smooth, (3) B.
- 2. Linear algebra Runtime depends on cost of sparse linear algebra for *B*-dimensional matrix mod *q*.
- 3. Individual log Runtime depends on probability that $g^R t$ is *B*-smooth.

Optimizing for B gives runtime of

$$\exp((\sqrt{2}+o(1))\sqrt{\log p \log \log p}) = L_p(1/2,\sqrt{2})$$

Number field sieve

[Gordon], [Joux, Lercier], [Semaev]

1. Polynomial selection: Find a polynomial f and an integer m such that $f(m) \equiv 0 \mod p$, deg f = 5 or 6, coeffs of f relatively small. Defines a number field $\mathbb{Q}(x)/f(x)$.

For $\gamma = \sum_{i} a_{i} \alpha^{i}$ in ring of integers, define homomorphism $\varphi(\gamma) = \sum_{i} a_{i} m^{i}$ to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

2. Relation collection Collect relations of form

$$\mathfrak{p}_1{}^{a_{11}}\ldots\mathfrak{B}{}^{a_{1k}}=a+b\alpha\equiv a+bm=p_1^{r_{11}}\ldots B^{r_{1k}}$$

- Linear algebra Once there are enough relations, solve for log p_i.
- 4. **Individual log** "Descent" Try to write target *t* as sum of logs in known database.

Implementing the NFS with CADO-NFS

L(1/3, 1.923)



L(1/3, 1.232)

Implementing the NFS with CADO-NFS



L(1/3, 1.923)

L(1/3, 1.232)

	Sieving			Linear Algebra		Descent
	I	log B	core-years	rows	core-years	core-time
RSA-512	14	29	0.5	4.3M	0.33	
DH-512	15	27	2.5	2.1M	7.7	10 mins

Implementing the NFS with CADO-NFS



L(1/3, 1.923)

L(1/3, 1.232)

		Sieving			Linear Algebra			Descent
		I	log B	core-years	rows	core-	years	core-time
RSA	-512	14	29	0.5	4.3M		0.33	
DH	-512	15	27	2.5	2.1M	.1M 7.7		10 mins
Times for cluster computation:								
-			polysel	sieving	linalg de		de	scent
			2000-3	3000 cores	288 cores		36	cores
	DH-	512	3 hours	15 hours	120 h	ours	70 sec	onds

https://mail.math.u-bordeaux1.fr/horde/imp/

www.math.u-bordeaux1.fr

This site uses a weak security configuration (SHA-1 signatures), so your connection may not be private.

Permissions

Connection



6 ->

The identity of this website has been verified by TERENA SSL CA. No Certificate Transparency information was supplied by the server.

The certificate chain for this website contains at least one certificate that was signed using a deprecated signature algorithm based on SHA-1.

Certificate Information

Your connection to www.math.ubordeaux1.fr is encrypted using a modern cipher suite.

The connection uses TLS 1.2.

The connection is encrypted and authenticated using AES_128_GCM and uses ECDHE_RSA is the key exchange mechanism.

me to Horde



onal 🛊





What do these mean?

TLS = Transport Layer Security

hello, 28 byte client random, 4 byte time

list of cipher suites





TLS = Transport Layer Security

hello, 28 byte client random, 4 byte time

list of cipher suites



hello, 28 byte server random, 4 byte time

certificate = public RSA key + CA signatures

chosen cipher suite, g^a , Sign_{RSAkey} (g^a)



TLS = Transport Layer Security



TLS = Transport Layer Security



Authentication in TLS Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange



Encryption in TLS Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange



Some differences between practice and theory

- Cipher-suite and parameter negotiation.
- Politics.
- ElGamal is uncommon.
- Group negotiation.
- Short exponents.
- Long-tailed distribution of group parameters.
- Primes with many bits in common.

Does anyone use 512-bit Diffie-Hellman?

International Traffic in Arms Regulations

April 1, 1992 version

Category XIII--Auxiliary Military Equipment ...

(b) Information Security Systems and equipment, cryptographic devices, software, and components specifically designed or modified therefore, including:

(1) Cryptographic (including key management) systems, equipment, assemblies, modules, integrated circuits, components or software with the capability of maintaining secrecy or confidentiality of information or information systems, except cryptographic equipment and software as follows:

(i) Restricted to decryption functions specifically designed to allow the execution of copy protected software, provided the decryption functions are not user-accessible.

(ii) Specially designed, developed or modified for use in machines for banking or money transactions, and restricted to use only in such transactions. Machines for banking or money transactions include automatic teller machines, self-service statement printers, point of sale terminals or equipment for the encryption of interbanking transactions. Commerce Control List: Category 5 - Info. Security (May 21, 2015 version)

a.1.a. A symmetric algorithm employing a key length in excess of 56-bits; or

a.1.b. An asymmetric algorithm where the security of the algorithm is based on any of the following:

a.1.b.1. Factorization of integers in excess of 512 bits (e.g., RSA);

a.1.b.2. Computation of discrete logarithms in a multiplicative group of a finite field of size greater than 512 bits (e.g., Diffie-Hellman over Z/pZ); or

a.1.b.3. Discrete logarithms in a group other than mentioned in 5A002.a.1.b.2 in excess of 112 bits (e.g., Diffie-Hellman over an elliptic curve);

a.2. Designed or modified to perform cryptanalytic functions;

Export cipher suites in TLS

```
TLS_RSA_EXPORT_WITH_RC4_40_MD5
TLS_RSA_EXPORT_WITH_RC2_CBC_40_MD5
TLS_RSA_EXPORT_WITH_DES40_CBC_SHA
TLS_DH_RSA_EXPORT_WITH_DES40_CBC_SHA
TLS_DHE_DSS_EXPORT_WITH_DES40_CBC_SHA
TLS_DHE_RSA_EXPORT_WITH_DES40_CBC_SHA
TLS_DH_Anon_EXPORT_WITH_RC4_40_MD5
TLS_DH_Anon_EXPORT_WITH_DES40_CBC_SHA
```

In March 2015, export cipher suites supported by 36.7% of the 14 million sites serving browser-trusted certificates!

FREAK attack [BDFKPSZZ 2015]: Use fast 512-bit factorization to downgrade modern browsers to broken export-grade RSA.

Man-in-the-middle downgrade attack to export DH



Protocol flaw: Server does not sign chosen cipher suite.

Carrying out the downgrade attack

- In April 2015, 8.4% of Alexa Top 1M HTTPS domains supported DHE_EXPORT.
- ▶ 82% use most common prime. 10% use 2nd most common prime.
- \blacktriangleright We carried out precomputation for these primes. ≈ 1 week each on 2000-3000 cores.
- Individual descent times on 36-core machine:



Many hosts use the same group parameters.

What about passive attacks for 768 or 1024-bit keys?

Cost estimates for discrete log

	Sieving			Linear Algebra		Descent
	I	log B	core-years	rows	core-years	core-time
RSA-512	14	29	0.5	4.3M	0.33	
DH-512	15	27	2.5	2.1M	7.7	10 mins
RSA-768	16	37	800	250M	100	
DH-768	17	35	8,000	150M	28,500	2 days
RSA-1024	18	42	1,000,000	8.7B	120,000	
DH-1024	19	40	10,000,000	5.2B	35,000,000	30 days

- Use RSA-768 factoring record, adjust to sieve less and modern processors.
- ► For discrete log, oversieve to decrease linear algebra cost.
- For descent, used early-abort ECM implementation to get experimental timings.

	Sieving			Line	ar Algebra	Descent
	I	log B core-years		rows	core-years	core-time
RSA-512	14	29	0.5	4.3M	0.33	
DH-512	15	27 2.5		2.1M	7.7	10 mins
RSA-768	16	37	800	250M	100	
DH-768	17	35	8,000	150M	28,500	2 days
RSA-1024	18	42	1,000,000	8.7B	120,000	
DH-1024	19	40	10,000,000	5.2B	35,000,000	30 days

Cost estimates for discrete log

- [Geiselmann Steinwandt] give ASIC sieving design. 10x their estimates at modern sizes = \$8M to sieve 1024-bit DL in one year.
- \blacktriangleright Titan supercomputer = 300,000 cores \rightarrow 117 years for linear algebra.
- Assuming 80x speedup for ASIC linear algebra = hundreds of millions of \$.

James Bamford, 2012, Wired

According to another top official also involved with the program, the NSA made an enormous breakthrough several years ago in its ability to cryptanalyze, or break, unfathomably complex encryption systems employed by not only governments around the world but also many average computer users in the US. The upshot, according to this official: "Everybody's a target; everybody with communication is a target."

[...]

The breakthrough was enormous, says the former official, and soon afterward the agency pulled the shade down tight on the project, even within the intelligence community and Congress. "Only the chairman and vice chairman and the two staff directors of each intelligence committee were told about it," he says. The reason? "They were thinking that this computing breakthrough was going to give them the ability to crack current public encryption."

2013 NSA "Black Budget"

"Also, we are investing in groundbreaking cryptanalytic capabilities to defeat adversarial cryptography and exploit internet traffic."



TOP SECRET//COMINT//REL USA, AUS, CAN, GBR, NZL

4. Communicate Results



Can we decrypt the VPN traffic?

- If the answer is "No" then explain how to turn it into a "YES!"
- If the answer is "YES!" then...



TOP SECRET//COMINT//REL USA, AUS, CAN, GBR, NZL

Happy Dance!!





TOP SECRET//COMINT//REL USA, AUS, CAN, GBR, NZL

SECRET//COMINT//REL USA, AUS, CAN, GBR, NZL

Turn that Frown Upside Down! From "No" to "YES!"



Depends on why we couldn't decrypt it Find Pre-Shared Key Locate complete paired collect Locate both IKE and ESP traffic Have collection sites do surveys for the IP's Find better quality collect with rich metadata

IKE Key Exchange for VPNs/IPsec



NSA VPN Attack Orchestration



	Vulnerable servers, if the attacker can precompute for						
	all 512-bit <i>p</i>	all 768-bit <i>p</i>	one 1024-bit <i>p</i>	ten 1024-bit <i>p</i>			
HTTPS Top 1M MITM	45K (8.4%)	45K (8.4%)	205K (37.1%)	309K (56.1%)			
HTTPS Top 1M	118 (0.0%)	407 (0.1%)	98.5K (17.9%)	132K (24.0%)			
HTTPS Trusted MITM	489K (3.4%)	556K (3.9%)	1.84M (12.8%)	3.41M (23.8%)			
HTTPS Trusted	1K (0.0%)	46.7K (0.3%)	939K (6.56%)	1.43M (10.0%)			
IKEv1 IPv4	_	64K (2.6%)	1.69M (66.1%)	1.69M (66.1%)			
IKEv2 IPv4	-	66K (5.8%)	726K (63.9%)	726K (63.9%)			
SSH IPv4	-	-	3.6M (25.7%)	3.6M (25.7%)			

Practical Mitigations

- Move to elliptic curve cryptography
 - How to reassure practitioners paranoid about fixed groups?
- If ECC isn't an option, move to \geq 2048-bit primes.
- If 2048-bit primes aren't an option, generate a fresh 1024-bit prime.
- Major browsers have stopped accepting 512-bit groups, will sunset 768 and 1024-bit soon.

weakdh.org